

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

OREXO AB,

Plaintiff,

v.

MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC. and
MYLAN INC.,

Defendants.

Honorable Freda L. Wolfson, U.S.D.J.

Honorable Lois H. Goodman, U.S.M.J.

Civil Action No. 3:11-cv-03788 (FLW)(LHG)

[PROPOSED] ORDER TO SEAL

THIS MATTER having come before the Court upon the motion of Plaintiff Orexo AB (“Plaintiff”) pursuant to Local Rule 5.3(c) to seal a portion of the Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff Orexo AB’s Motion for the Issuance of a Letter of Request to the Central Authority of India for Testimony from Subash Chandra Mohanta and P. Murali Krishna, and Exhibits A, C, D, E, and F in their entirety, which were electronically filed on April 23, 2013 [D.I. 123] (together, the “Subject Material”); and the Court having considered the written submissions of the parties, and having determined that this action involves allegations requiring the disclosure of confidential and proprietary information, and the Court being satisfied of the following:

1. This is a complex patent case requiring discovery of highly sensitive competitive materials, including business, trade-secret or proprietary information. The parties to this case have produced confidential information in discovery, the public disclosure of which could affect legitimate business interests.

2. To protect the confidentiality of this information, the parties agreed to a Stipulated Discovery Confidentiality Order, entered in this litigation on March 26, 2012 (D.E.

47, the “Order”). The Order allows the parties or third parties to designate information as “Confidential” or “Highly Confidential”, and provides that a party wishing to use materials so designated in a submission filed with the Court must move pursuant to Local Rule 5.3 for leave to file the submission under seal. (Order ¶¶ 2 and 12).

3. By designating the Subject Material “Confidential,” Defendants Mylan Pharmaceutical Inc. and Mylan Inc. (“Defendants”) have represented that the Subject Material includes its sensitive business, financial or other proprietary information, the disclosure of which would destroy the value of Mylan’s information and the competitive advantage which attaches thereto. Defendants have a legitimate interest in the continued confidentiality of this information.

4. Absent an order sealing the Subject Material, Plaintiff cannot include the confidential information in support of its Memorandum of Law for the Issuance of a Letter of Request, without wholly disregarding the parties’ designation. The omission of this confidential information from Plaintiff’s Memorandum would significantly limit Plaintiff’s ability to adequately advocate for its position.

5. Upon consideration of the papers submitted in support of the motion, and the material sought to be sealed, the Court concludes that Plaintiff has met its burden under Local Civil Rule 5.3(c) and applicable case law, and that this material should be sealed.

6. Specifically, the Court concludes that:

- (a) the Subject Material contains information concerning Defendants’ proprietary, business or financial information that Defendants have designated “Confidential”;
- (b) Defendants have a legitimate interest in maintaining the confidentiality of the Subject Material;

(c) the omission of the Subject Material from Plaintiff's Memorandum of Law for the Issuance of a Letter of Request would significantly limit Plaintiff's ability to advocate for its position; and

(d) there is no less restrictive alternative to the relief sought.

THEREFORE, it is on this ____ day of _____, 2013

ORDERED that this Motion to Seal, pursuant to Local Rule 5.3 is hereby granted; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk is hereby directed to seal the Subject Material.

Hon. Lois H. Goodman, U.S.M.J.